

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/613,486	07/11/2000		Hai-Ying Zhu	07678/062004 9740	
7	7590	12/18/2002			
Paul T Clark			EXAMINER		
Clark & Elbing 176 Federal St	reet		KATCHEVES, KONSTANTINA T		
Boston, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1636	
				DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)						
		09/613,486		ZHU ET AL.	U ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Konstantina Ka	cheves	1636						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status										
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·								
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The	nis action is non-f	inal.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-18$ is/are pending in the application	١.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2 and 11-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers										
9) 🔲 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	r.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
			•		l application)					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.										
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment										
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT						
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of	Paper No. 15					

Art Unit: 1636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-18 are pending in the present application. Claims 3-10 are currently under examination.

## Response to Amendment

Claims 3-10 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,197,948 ('948 patent). Applicant has stated that a terminal disclaimer will be filed once allowable subject matter has been indicated.

Claims 3-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

## Response to Arguments

Claims 3-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's arguments filed 27 September 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The written description requirement is established by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph which states that the: "specification shall contain a written description of the invention. .

Art Unit: 1636

[emphasis added]." The written description requirement has been characterized in by the courts. A specification must convey to one of skill in the art that "as of the filing date sought, [the inventor] was in possession of the invention." See *Vas Cath v. Mahurkar* 935 F.2d 1555, 1560 19 USPQ2d 1111, 1117 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Applicant may show that he is in "possession" of the invention claimed by describing the invention with all of its claimed limitations "by such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that fully set forth the claimed invention." See *Lockwood v. American Airlines Inc.* 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Applicant argues that Applicant provides that upon comparison of the GLRaV-2 sequence with other sequences homology studies lead to the purported identification of nine open reading frames. The breadth of Applicant's claims read on any DNA molecule encoding any protein or polypeptide. The claims given their broadest reasonable interpretation include mutants, fragments and variants such that Applicant has not described all these molecules and is not in possession of all of these molecules. Moreover, the homology studies referred to by Applicant fail to provide adequate description of the GLRaV-2 claimed. Applicant has based the identification of the open reading frames on the comparison of the GLRaV-2 sequence with other viral sequences and has not determined whether the open these purported open reading frames are correct. Also assuming *arguendo*, that the open reading frames are proper the claims still read on a large number of DNA molecules that Applicant has not described, and the open reading frames are not limitations in the claims. Applicant also states that given standard techniques in the art one of skill in the art may identify DNA molecules in other GLRaV-2 closteroviruses. Applicant should note that "a generic statement . . . without more, is not an

Art Unit: 1636

adequate written description of the genus because it does not distinguish the claimed genus from others, except by function." See *University of California v. Eli Lilly and co.* 119 F.3d 1559, 43 USPQ2d 1398 (1997). Hybridization, as discussed in the specification, is not a sufficient identifying characteristic such that one of skill in the art would appreciate that Applicant had possession of all members of the claimed genus. Thus, Applicant has not specifically defined the molecules that fall within the broad genus claimed nor does Applicant clearly describe the structural characteristics commonly possessed by members of the genus such that one of skill in the art would recognize that Applicant was in possession of the full breadth of the invention claimed.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konstantina Katcheves whose telephone number is (703) 305-1999. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014 for regular communications and (703) 305-7939 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Konstantina Katcheves December 9, 2002

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER